

# Specifying a Ferrite for EMI Suppression

CAROLE U. PARKER  
Fair-Rite Products Corp., Wallkill, NY

*The intrinsic characteristic that most influences the performance of soft ferrite in suppression applications is the complex permeability, which is directly proportional to the core's impedance.*

## Introduction

This article is written as a revision to "Choosing a Ferrite for the Suppression of EMI."<sup>1</sup> Whereas the focus of the previous article was on the impedance of the core, this discussion will include an examination of the intrinsic characteristic of the ferrite material, the complex permeability. It will relate this to the ferrite component's impedance, while analyzing the effects of frequency, field strength, temperature and core geometry. It is meant to aid designers when modeling ferrite cores in their circuits.

## Ferrite Applications

The following are three major applications for soft ferrite:

- Low signal level
- Power
- EMI

Each specific application dictates the required intrinsic material characteristics and required core geometry. For low signal level applications the intrinsic characteristics required of the ferrite are controlled permeability, particularly with temperature, low core loss and good magnetic stability with time and temperature. Applications include high Q inductors, common-mode inductors, wideband, matching and pulse transformers, antenna elements for radios and both active and passive transponders.

For power applications the desir-

able characteristics are high flux density and low losses at the operating frequency and temperature. Applications include switch mode power supplies, magnetic amplifiers, DC-DC converters, power filters, ignition coils, and transformers for battery charging of electrical vehicles.

The intrinsic characteristic that most influences the performance of soft ferrite in suppression applications is the complex permeability, which is directly proportional to the core's impedance.

There are three ways to use ferrites as suppressors of unwanted signals, conducted or radiated. The first, and least common, is as actual shields where ferrite is used to isolate a conductor, component or circuit from an environment of radiated stray electromagnetic fields.

In the second application, the ferrite is used with a capacitive element to create a lowpass filter that is inductance-capacitance at low frequencies and dissipative at higher frequencies.

The third, and most common use, is when the ferrite cores are used alone on component leads or in board-level circuitry. In this application the ferrite core prevents any parasitic oscillations and/or attenuates unwanted signal pickup or transmission that might travel along component leads or interconnected wires, traces, or cables.

In both the second and third applications the ferrite core suppresses the conducted EMI by eliminating or

greatly reducing the high frequency currents emanating from the EMI source. The introduction of the ferrite provides a sufficiently high frequency impedance that results in the suppression of the high frequency currents. Theoretically, the ideal ferrite would provide a high impedance at the EMI frequencies, and zero impedance at all other frequencies. In reality, ferrite suppressor cores provide a frequency-dependent impedance, which is low at frequencies below 1 MHz. Depending upon the ferrite material, the maximum impedance can be obtained between 10 MHz to 500 MHz.

## Complex Permeability

As is consistent with electrical engineering principles in which alternating voltages and currents are denoted by complex parameters, so the permeability of a material can be represented as a complex parameter consisting of a real and an imaginary part. This is evidenced at high frequencies where the permeability separates into two components.

The real component ( $\mu'$ ) represents the reactive portion, and is in phase with the alternating magnetic field, whereas the imaginary component ( $\mu''$ ) represents the losses, and is out of phase with the alternating magnetic field. (In phase is when the maxima and minima of the magnetic field H and those of the induction B coincide. Out of phase is when the

maxima and minima is displaced by 90°.)

The real and imaginary components may be expressed as series components ( $\mu_s'$ ,  $\mu_s''$ ) or parallel components ( $\mu_p'$ ,  $\mu_p''$ ). The graphs in Figures 1, 2 and 3 show the series components of the complex initial permeability as a function of frequency for three ferrite materials, a manganese-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of 2500, a nickel-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of

850, and a nickel-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of 125.

Concentrating on Figure 3, the series components of the nickel-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of 125, we see that the real part of the permeability,  $\mu_s'$ , remains constant with increasing frequency until a critical frequency is reached and then decreases rapidly. The losses, or  $\mu_s''$ , rise, then peak as  $\mu_s'$  falls. This decrease in  $\mu_s'$  is due to the onset of ferromagnetic resonance, also called

spin precession resonance. It should be noted that the higher the permeability, the lower the frequency at which this occurs. This inverse relationship was first observed by Snoek and is given in the following formula:

$$f_{\text{res}} = \frac{\gamma M_{\text{sat}} (\text{Hz})}{3\pi (\mu_i - 1)} \quad (1)$$

where

$f_{\text{res}}$  = Frequency at which  $\mu_s''$  is maximum

$\gamma$  = Gyromagnetic ratio

### Key Terms

#### Air Core Inductance – $L_0$ (H)

The inductance that would be measured if the core had unity permeability and the flux distribution remained unaltered.

General formula  $L_0 = \frac{4\pi N^2 10^{-9}}{C_1}$  (H)

Toroidal  $L_0 = 0.0461 N^2 \log_{10} (\text{OD/ID}) \text{ Ht } 10^{-8}$  (H)

Dimensions in mm

#### Attenuation – A (dB)

The decrease in signal magnitude in transmission from one point to another. It is a scalar ratio of the input magnitude to the output magnitude in decibels.

#### Core Constant – $C_1$ ( $\text{cm}^{-1}$ )

The summation of the magnetic path lengths of each section of a magnetic circuit divided by the corresponding magnetic area of the same section.

#### Core Constant – $C_2$ ( $\text{cm}^{-3}$ )

The summation of the magnetic path lengths of each section of a magnetic circuit divided by the square of the corresponding magnetic area of the same section.

#### Effective Dimensions of a Magnetic Circuit

Area  $A_e$  ( $\text{cm}^2$ ), Path Length  $l_e$  (cm) and Volume  $V_e$  ( $\text{cm}^3$ )

For a magnetic core of given geometry, the magnetic path length, the cross-sectional area and the volume that a hypothetical toroidal core of the same material properties should possess to be the magnetic equivalent to the given core.

#### Field Strength – H (oersted)

The parameter characterizing the amplitude of the field strength.

$H = 0.4 \pi NI/l_e$  (oersted)

#### Flux Density – B (gauss)

The corresponding parameter for the induced magnetic field in a area perpendicular to the flux path.

#### Impedance – Z (ohm)

The impedance of a ferrite may be expressed in terms of its complex permeability.

$Z = j\omega L_s + R_s = j\omega L_0(\mu_s' - j\mu_s'')$  (ohm)

#### Loss Tangent – $\tan \delta$

The loss tangent of the ferrite is equal to the reciprocal of the Q of the circuit.

#### Loss Factor – $\tan \delta/\mu_i$

The phase displacement between the fundamental components of the flux density and the field strength divided by the initial permeability.


#### Phase Angle – $\Phi$

The phase shift between the applied voltage and current in an inductive device.


#### Permeability – $\mu$

The permeability obtained from the ratio of the flux density and the applied alternating field strength.


- Amplitude Permeability  $\mu_a$  – when stated values of flux density are greater than that used for initial permeability.
- Effective Permeability  $\mu_e$  – when a magnetic circuit is constructed with an air gap or air gaps, and then the permeability is that of a hypothetical homogeneous material which would provide the same reluctance.
- Incremental Permeability  $\mu_\Delta$  – when a static field is superimposed.
- Initial Permeability  $\mu_i$  – when the flux density is kept below 10 gauss.



**Figure 1.** Manganese-zinc Ferrite with Initial Permeability of 2500.



**Figure 2.** Nickel-zinc Ferrite with Initial Permeability of 850.



**Figure 3.** Nickel-zinc ferrite with Initial Permeability of 125.

$$= 0.22 \times 10^6 \text{ A}^{-1} \text{ m}$$

$\mu_i$  = Initial permeability

$M_{\text{sat}}$  = 250-350  $\text{Am}^{-1}$

This same equation can be approximated by:

$$f_{\text{res}} = B_{\text{sat}} / \mu_i \text{ (MHz)} \quad (2)$$

Since ferrite cores used in low signal level and power applications are concerned with magnetic parameters below this frequency, rarely does the ferrite manufacturer publish data for permeability and/or losses at higher frequencies. However, higher frequency data is essential when specifying ferrite cores used in the suppression of EMI.

## Relationship Between Complex Permeability and Impedance

The characteristic that is specified by most ferrite manufacturers for components used in EMI suppression is the impedance. The impedance is easily measured on readily available commercial analyzers with direct digital read-outs. Unfortunately, the impedance is usually specified at particular frequencies and is the scalar quantity representing the magnitude of the complex impedance vector. Although this information is valuable, it is often not sufficient, especially when modeling the ferrite's circuit performance. In order to accomplish this, the impedance value and phase angle for the components, or the complex permeability for the specific material must be available.

But even before beginning to model the performance of a ferrite component in a circuit the designer should know the following:

- Frequency of unwanted signals
- Source of the EMI (radiated/conducted)
- Operating conditions (environment)
- If high resistivity is required because of multiple turns, conductor pins in a connector filter plate or position in the circuit
- Circuit impedance, source and load

- How much attenuation is required
- Allowable space on the board

The design engineer can then compare materials at the relevant frequencies for the complex permeability, heeding the effects of temperature and field strength. After this, core geometry can be selected, from which the inductive reactance and series resistance can be defined.

## The Equations

The impedance of a ferrite core in terms of permeability is given by:

$$Z = j\omega\mu L_o \quad (3)$$

and

$$\mu = \mu' - j\mu'' = (\mu_s'^2 + (j\mu_s'')^2)^{1/2} \quad (4)$$

where

$\mu'$  = Real part of the complex permeability

$\mu''$  = Imaginary part of the complex permeability

$j$  = Unit imaginary vector

$L_o$  = Air core inductance

Therefore,

$$Z = j\omega L_o (\mu' - j\mu'') \quad (5)$$

The impedance of the core is also considered to be a series combination of inductive reactance ( $X_L$ ) and the loss resistance ( $R_s$ ), both of which are frequency dependent. A loss-free core would have an impedance that would be given by the reactance:

$$X = j\omega L_s \quad (6)$$

A core that has magnetic losses may be represented as having an impedance:

$$Z = R_s + j\omega L_s \quad (7)$$


where

$R_s$  = Total series resistance =  $R_m + R_e$


$R_m$  = Equivalent series resistance due to the magnetic losses

$R_e$  = Equivalent series resistance for copper losses


*Continued on page 252*



**Figure 4.** Inductive Reactance, Impedance and Resistance of a Medium Permeability Material.



**Figure 5.** Vector Representations for Complex Permeability and Impedance.



**Figure 6.** Initial Permeability and Loss Factor vs. Frequency for the Manganese-zinc Ferrite with an Initial Permeability of 2500.

At low frequencies the impedance of the component is primarily the inductive reactance. As frequency increases, the inductance decreases at the same time the losses increase and the total impedance increases. Figure 4 is a typical curve of  $X_L$ ,  $R_s$  and  $Z$  versus frequency for a medium permeability material.

Knowing that the magnetic quality factor

$$Q = \mu'/\mu'' = \omega L_s/R_s \quad (8)$$

then the inductive reactance is made directly proportional to the real part of the complex permeability by  $L_o$ , the air core inductance:

$$j\omega L_s = j\omega L_o \mu_s'$$

The loss resistance is also made directly proportional to the imaginary part of the complex permeability by the same constant:

$$R_s = \omega L_o \mu_s''$$

Substituting into Equation (7) for impedance:

$$Z = \omega L_o \mu_s'' + j\omega L_o \mu_s'$$

and factoring:

$$Z = j\omega L_o (\mu_s' - j\mu_s'') \quad (9)$$

In Equation 9, the core material is given by  $\mu_s'$  and  $\mu_s''$ , and the core geometry is given by  $L_o$ . Thus, knowing the complex permeability for different ferrites, a comparison can be made to obtain the most suitable material at the desired frequency or frequency range. After the optimum material is chosen, the best size component can be selected. The vector representation for both the complex permeability and impedance is found in Figure 5.

If the manufacturer supplies graphs of complex permeability versus frequency for the ferrite materials recommended for suppression applications, then a comparison of core shapes and core materials for optimizing impedance is straightforward. Unfortunately this information is rarely made available. However, most manufacturers supply curves of initial permeability and losses versus frequency. From this data a comparison of mate-

rials for optimizing core impedance can be obtained.

## Material Selection

### EXAMPLE: 100 kHz – 900 kHz

Referring to Figure 6, which describes the initial permeability and loss factor versus frequency for the manganese-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of 2500, assume a designer wants to guarantee maximum impedance between 100 and 900 kHz. (The loss factor, the normalization of loss tangent per unit of permeability, is a material property describing the loss characteristics per unit of permeability.) The material described above is chosen. For purposes of modeling, the designer also needs to know the reactive and resistive portions of the impedance vector at 100 kHz ( $10^5$  Hz) and 900 kHz. This information can be derived from the graphs as follows:

#### At 100 kHz

$$\mu_s' = \mu_i = 2500 \text{ and } (\tan \delta/\mu_i) = 7 \times 10^{-6}$$

Since

$$\tan \delta = \mu_s''/\mu_s' \text{ then } \mu_s'' = (\tan \delta/\mu_i) \times (\mu_i)^2 = 43.8$$

Calculating for the complex permeability:

$$\mu = \mu' - j\mu'' = ((\mu_s')^2 + (j\mu_s'')^2)^{1/2} = 2500.38$$

It should be noted that, as expected,  $\mu''$  adds very little to the total permeability vector at this low frequency. The impedance of the core is primarily inductive.

However at 900 kHz  $\mu_s''$  has become a significant contributor:

$$\mu_s' = 2100, \mu_s'' = 1014 \mu = 2332$$

## Core Selection

The designer knows that the core must accept a #22 wire, and fit into a space of 10 mm by 5 mm. The inside diameter (ID) will be specified as .8 mm. Solving for the estimated impedance and its components, a bead with an outside diameter (OD) of 10 mm and a height of 5 mm is chosen first:

**At 100 kHz**

since

$$Z = \omega L_o \mu$$

and

$$\text{Toroidal } L_o = .0461 N^2 \log_{10} (\text{OD/ID}) \text{ Ht } 10^{-8} \text{ (H)}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \omega L_o (2500.38) \\ &= (6.28 \times 10^5) \times .0461 \times \log_{10} (10/.8) \times 5 \times 2500.38 \times 10^{-8} \\ &= 3.97 \text{ ohms} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_s = L_o \omega \mu_s'' = .069 \text{ ohms}$$

$$X_L = L_o \omega \mu_s' = 3.97 \text{ ohms}$$

**At 900 kHz**

$$Z = 33.3 \text{ ohms}, R_s = 14.48 \text{ ohms}, X_L = 30.0 \text{ ohms}$$

Then a bead with an outside diameter of 5 mm and a length of 10 mm is selected:

**At 100 kHz**

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \omega L_o (2500.38) \\ &= (6.28 \times 10^5) \times .0461 \times \log_{10} (5/.8) \times 10 \times (2500.38) \times 10^{-8} \\ &= 5.76 \text{ ohms} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_s = L_o \omega \mu_s'' = .100 \text{ ohms}$$

$$X_L = L_o \omega \mu_s' = 5.76 \text{ ohms}$$

**At 900 kHz**

$$Z = 48.1 \text{ ohms}, R_s = 20.9 \text{ ohms}, X_L = 43.3 \text{ ohms}$$

In this instance, as in most, maximum impedance is achieved by using a smaller OD with the longer length. If the ID were larger, for instance 4 mm, the reverse would have been true.

This same approach can be used if graphs of impedance per unit  $L_o$  and phase angle versus frequency are supplied. Figures 9, 10 and 11 are representative of such curves for the same three materials used throughout this article.

**Example: 25 MHz - 100 MHz**

The designer wants to guarantee maximum impedance for the frequency range of 25 MHz to 100 MHz. The available board space is again 10 mm by 5 mm and the core must accept a #22 AWG wire. Referring to Figure 7, which describes impedance per unit  $L_o$  for three ferrite materials, or Figure 8, complex permeability for the same three materials, an 850  $\mu_i$  material is chosen. (It should be noted that the impedance for each ferrite material is optimum over a specific frequency range. As a rule of thumb, the higher the permeability, the lower the frequency range.) Using the graph of Figure 9,  $Z/L_o$  for the medium permeability material, at 25 MHz, is  $350 \times 10^8$  ohm/H. Solving for the estimated impedance:

$$Z = 350 \times 10^8 \times .0461 \times \log_{10} (5/.8) \times 10 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$Z = 128.4 \text{ ohm } \Phi = 30 \text{ degrees}$$

$$X_L = Z \sin \Phi = 126.8 \text{ ohms}$$

$$R_s = Z \cos \Phi = 19.81 \text{ ohms}$$

**At 100 MHz**

$$Z = 179.8 \text{ ohms } \Phi = 0$$

$$X_L = 0 \text{ ohms } R_s = 179.8 \text{ ohms}$$

The same approach may be used for different materials, dimensions, and frequencies.

The previous discussion assumed that the core of choice was cylindrical. If the ferrite core being used is for flat ribbon, or bundled cable, or a multi-hole plate, the calculation for the  $L_o$  becomes more difficult, and fairly accurate figures for the core path length and effective area must be obtained in order to calculate the air core inductance. This can be done by mathematically sectioning the core and summing the divided path length by the magnetic area for each section. In all cases though, an increase, or decrease in impedance will be directly proportional to an increase or decrease in the height/length of the ferrite core. (This remains true so long as the increase in height/length does not cause the core to be in dimensional resonance.)

**Relationship Between Impedance and Attenuation**

As stated, most manufacturers are specifying cores for EMI applications in terms of impedance, but often the end user needs to know the attenuation. The relationship that exists between these two parameters is:

$$\text{Attenuation} = 20 \log_{10} ((Z_s + Z_{sc} + Z_L) / (Z_s + Z_L)) \text{ dB}$$

where

$$Z_s = \text{Source impedance}$$

$$Z_{sc} = \text{Suppressor core impedance}$$

$$Z_L = \text{Load impedance}$$

The relationship is dependent on the impedance of the source generating the noise and the impedance of the load receiving it. These values are usually complex numbers that can be infinite in scope and not easily obtained by the designer. Selecting a value of 1 ohm for both the load and the source impedance, as may be the case when the source is a switch mode power supply and the load many low impedance circuits, simplifies the equation and allows comparison of ferrite cores in terms of attenuation.

Under these conditions, the equation reduces to:

$$\text{Attenuation} = 20 \log_{10} (Z_{sc}/2) \text{ dB}$$

The graph in Figure 12 is a family of curves that show the relationship between the shield bead impedance and the attenuation for a number of commonly used values of the load plus the generator impedance.

Figure 13 is the equivalent circuit of an interference source with an internal impedance of  $Z_s$ , generating an interference signal through the series impedance of the suppressor core  $Z_{sc}$  and the load impedance  $Z_L$ .

## The Environment

### TEMPERATURE

As stated, ferrite's magnetic parameters can be affected by temperature and field strengths.

Figures 14 and 15 are graphs of impedance versus temperature for the same three ferrite materials. The most stable of these materials is the nickel-zinc, 125 initial permeability material, with a decrease in impedance of 8% at 100°C and 100 MHz. This is compared to a 25% drop in impedance for the 43 material at the same frequency and temperature. These curves, when supplied, can be used to adjust the specified room temperature impedance if desired attenuation is to be at elevated temperatures.

### FIELD STRENGTH

As in the case of temperature, DC and 50- or 60-Hz power current will also affect the same intrinsic ferrite characteristics which, in turn, will result in lowering the impedance of the core. Figures 16, 17 and 18 are typical of curves that illustrate the effect of biases on impedance of a ferrite material. The curve depicts the degradation of impedance

as a function of field strength for a specific material as a function of frequency. It should be noted that as frequency increases the effect of biases diminishes.

## New Materials

Since the compilation of this data, two new materials have been developed, a nickel-zinc, medium-permeability material and a manganese-zinc high-permeability material.

Figure 19 is a plot of impedance vs. frequency for the same size bead in four different materials. The new nickel zinc material is an improved version of an existing ferrite with higher dc resistivity,  $10^9$  ohm-cm, better thermal shock characteristics, temperature stability and higher Curie temperature ( $T_c$ ). When compared to its predecessor, the new material has slightly higher impedance vs. frequency characteristics. Still, the new manganese-zinc material exhibits higher impedance than either of the nickel-zinc materials throughout the measured frequency range. Designed to alleviate the problem of dimensional resonance that affects the low frequency suppression performance of the larger manganese-zinc cores, the




Figure 7. Impedance Per Unit.




Figure 8. Complex Permeability.




Figure 9. Impedance per Unit  $L_0$  for 850 Initial Permeability Material.




Figure 10. Impedance per Unit  $L_0$  for 2500 Initial Permeability Material.




Figure 11. Impedance per Unit  $L_0$  for 125 Initial Permeability Material.




Figure 12. Relationship between the Shield Bead Impedance and the Attenuation.

manganese-zinc material has found successful applications as cable connector suppressor cores and large toroidal cores.

Figure 20 is a curve of impedance versus frequency for several materials for a core with the following geometry: an OD of 0.562", ID of 0.250 and a height of 1.125. When comparing Figure 19 to Figure 20 it should be noted that

for the smaller core in Figure 19, for frequencies up to 25 MHz, the manganese-zinc ferrite with an initial permeability of 2500 is the optimum suppression material. However, as the core cross section increases, the maximum frequency decreases. As can be shown by the data in Figure 20, the highest frequency where it is optimum is 8 MHz. Also noteworthy is that the manganese-zinc high perme-




Figure 13. Interference Source.




Figure 14. Impedance vs. Temperature at 25 MHz.




Figure 15. Impedance vs. Temperature at 100 MHz.




Figure 16. Effect of Biases on Impedance for 850  $\mu_i$  Material.




Figure 17. Effect of Biases on Impedance for 2500  $\mu_i$  Material.




Figure 18. Effect of Biases on Impedance for 125  $\mu_i$  Material.




Figure 19. Impedance Versus Frequency for New Manganese-zinc Material.





Figure 20. Comparison of Core Impedance Manufactured from Different Ferrite Materials.

# EMI FEED-THRU'S & POWER FILTERS


Standard HI-REL and Commercial Designs Available or Call with Your Custom Requirements

## WORLD'S SMALLEST

THREADED EMI FILTER



Actual Size



### Features:

- Manufacturing to Customer Specifications
- MIL-F-15733 requirements
- Hermetically Sealed
- Complex Mounting Config.

- Single Phase, Three-Phase, DC to 400 Hz • Currents to 150 Amps, Voltages to 480 VAC • RF, Voice, Data, Analog Signals
- MIL-Spec, Telecom, OEM & Hi-Performance Commercial.

We design Multi-Functional modules including: Filters, Connectors, Circuit Breakers, Over Voltage Protection & Switches.

**Texas Spectrum Electronics, Inc.**

Call for samples, Catalogs, Price Lists and Details.

Ask for Our FREE Designer's Guide!

Over 20 Years Serving the High-Tech Electronics Industry

9110 AutoBahn Dr. • Dallas, Texas 75237  
1-888-800-9990 • 972-296-3699 • FAX: 972-296-7881  
E-Mail: sales@texspec.com

Circle Inquiry No. 188

## SPECIFYING A FERRITE FOR EMI SUPPRESSION . . . Continued

ability material is superior from 8 MHz to 300 MHz. However, being a manganese-zinc ferrite, it has a much lower volume resistivity of  $10^2$  ohm-cm and exhibits greater changes in impedance with extreme temperature variation.

## Conclusion

Ferrites used for the suppression of electromagnetic interference can be thought of as high-frequency dependent resistors. Selecting the optimum ferrite material for a specific frequency or frequency range is simplified if the intrinsic characteristic, complex permeability, is supplied by the manufacturer. However, as a minimum, both impedance and phase angle for specific cores in specific materials, under stated environmental conditions, should be made available to the designer.

Ferrite cores are manufactured in numerous materials and geometries, for a broad frequency range. They are easy to use and remain an inexpensive solution to what can often be a very costly problem.

## References

1. Carol U. Parker, "Choosing a Ferrite for the Suppression of EMI," *ITEM* 93, p.112.

## Bibliography

- Snelling, E. C. *Soft Ferrites, Properties and Applications*, 2nd Edition. Boston: Butterworth, 1988.
- Heck, C. *Magnetic Materials and their Applications*, New York: Crane, Russak and Company, 1974.
- Parker, C., Tolen, B. and Parker, R. "Prayer Beads Solve Many of Your EMI Problems," *EMC Technology*, Vol. 4, No. 2, 1985.
- Fair-Rite Products Soft Ferrite Catalog, 13th Edition, 1997.

**CAROLE PARKER** has a Bachelor's Degree in electrical engineering and a Master's Degree in business administration from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. She has been employed by Fair-Rite Products Corporation for over twenty years. She started as an application engineer in 1974, was promoted to director of marketing and sales in 1993, and became President of the company in 1996. (914) 895-2055.

# CUSTOM EMI FILTERS





When it comes to meeting your custom design requirements for filters, Electrocube is your best source. Our experience has taken us literally around the world to analyze and solve EMI problems in military and commercial installations.

Of the thousands of filters produced to hundreds of designs, no "standard" filters have ever been developed. Each filtering need is unique, and each request for assistance appears to require at least one factor unavailable in off-the shelf units.

Electrocube has standardized non-standard filter design and manufacturing procedures to be able to respond quickly and at competitive prices. Additionally, small quantity applications are welcome.

As a major manufacturer of precision capacitors, Electrocube has complete inductor winding and filter production facilities. You can always rely on Electrocube to design and manufacture the exact filter to meet your physical and electrical requirements.

Remember, "The Cube" delivers!



**electrocube**

1307 S. Myrtle Ave. • Monrovia, CA 91016  
TEL: (626) 301-0122 • FAX: (626) 357-8099

Circle Inquiry No. 225